Multidisciplinary Journal of Academic Publications
ISSN (Online): 3107-538X
Vol. 02, Issue 02, March – April 2026

AI-DRIVEN FAULT IDENTIFICATION AND LOCALIZATION STRATEGIES IN ADVANCED POWER GRIDS

Sweta Sharma[1], Mr. Shrikant Namdeo[2], Mr. Rishikant Garg[3]

Research Scholar, Department of Electrical Engineering, Dr. C.V. Raman University, Kargi Road Kota, Bilaspur, swetaanjali1915@gmail.com[1]

Assistant Professor, Department of Electrical Engineering, Dr. C.V. Raman University, Kargi Road Kota, Bilaspur, shrikantnamdeo2@gmail.com[2]

Assistant Professor, Department of Electrical Engineering, Dr. C.V. Raman University, Kargi Road Kota, Bilaspur, gargr438@gmail.com[3]

Abstract

Artificial intelligence integration into power grid fault management is a groundbreaking strategy of improving the reliability of electrical infrastructure and its efficiency of operations. This work explores the use of AI-based techniques in fault detection and localization in sophisticated power grid models, especially the focus on machine learning algorithms, deep neural networks, and smart monitoring systems. The main goals are to compare the effectiveness of different AI methods, determine the accuracy of fault detection in various grid configurations, and determine the difficulties involved in implementing AI in developing countries such as India. The research approach is a system review and a quantitative analysis of secondary sources gathered in form of grid operators, research departments, and international energy organizations. The hypothesis is that AI-powered systems represent much better fault detection and localization resistance than traditional schemes of protection. The findings have revealed that convolutional neural networks have a fault classification accuracy of over 98% whereas the support vector machines have a high fault localization in a transmission line with less than 1.2 errors. A discussion shows that there were significant gains in response time, decrease in outage time, and increased grid stability using AI. The conclusion has made it clear that AI-based solutions provide scalable answers to modernizing the power grid protection infrastructure in a wide range of contexts.

Keywords: *Artificial Intelligence[1], Power Grid Protection[2], Fault Localization[3], Machine Learning[4], Smart Grid[5].*

1. Introduction

The modern power grid system encounters unprecedented challenges due to the emerging complexity of demand, the inclusion of renewable energy, the spread of distributed generation, and the aging transmission system. The conventional fault detection and protection schemes, which are mainly based on the principle of impedance-based relays and overcurrent protection plans, are proven to be highly ineffective in the face of dynamic nature of contemporary electrical networks (Zhang et al., 2021). Such traditional methods have problems such as variability of fault resistance, mutual coupling effects, intermittency of renewable sources and non-linear load characteristics characteristic of power systems of the twenty-first century. Artificial intelligence is a paradigm that brings revolutionary solutions to such enduring struggles as it is an innate ability to find patterns, adaptive learning, and make complex decisions in uncertain scenarios. Evidence has been presented that the use of AI technologies, including machine learning, deep learning, fuzzy logic systems, and evolutionary algorithms, can greatly change the way fault management is performed to be more reactive protection than proactive maintenance and intelligent grids (Mishra et al., 2022). With a large transmission network of more than 450,000 circuit kilometers and an estimated population of 1.4 billion customers, the Indian power sector is both a big challenge and problem the AI can address in grid protection.

The development of smart grid technologies has established an ecosystem that allows the implementation of AI and is defined by the presence of advanced metering infrastructures, phasor measuring units, wide area monitoring systems, and complex communication networks. The enablers of these technologies produce massive datasets of grid operational parameters, fault signature, environmental conditions, and health indicators of equipment that can be used as inputs in machine learning algorithms (Kumar and Singh, 2023). The combination of computational progress, big data analytics, and enhanced sensor technologies has increased the rate of AI usage in the world of transmission and distribution systems. The study research indicates that AI-driven fault detection systems are significantly superior to traditional ones in various performance indicators. Research performed on IEEE benchmark systems shows that neural network classifiers achieve fault type accuracy between 95% and 99.5% and localization algorithms using support vector regression achieve a distance error within 2 percent of total line length (Prasad et al., 2020). These operating attributes are in response to important operational needs such as, quick fault clearance, minimized equipment damages, minimized restoration times, and improved safety of the personnel.

AI application in power grid fault management covers a wide range of areas of application such as transmission line protection, transformer faults diagnostics, distribution network automation, and microgrid islanding detection. Both domains have specific features that demand specific algorithmic methods depending on particular operational conditions, the types of faults, and the necessity of cooperation between protection (Sharma and Patel, 2022). The grid infrastructure is heterogenous due to the existence of ultra-high voltage transmission corridors down to low voltage distribution feeders and therefore requires adaptable AI frameworks that can handle different protection issues. The study methodically investigates AI-based fault detection and localization methods with a thorough review of the available literature, empirical studies of grid operations, and a comparison of algorithmic performances of the methods. The research study fills in research gaps that are of critical importance to both the field of research and the potential impact of the study in solving the problem of implementation in developing countries, the criteria of algorithm selection that apply to the particular grid arrangement, and the issues of scalability in the deployment of the algorithm on a national scale.

2. Literature Review

The academic literature on AI use in power grid fault management has experienced an exponential trend in the last ten years, in terms of maturation of the technology and need to work. The original work by Zhang et al. (2021) provided a theoretical basis of the application of convolutional neural networks to fault transmission line classification and showed that time-frequency representations of fault signals can be used to extract features better than other signal processing methods. Experimental validation on a 400 kV transmission system gave the system classification accuracies of 98.7 percent on ten types of faults that formed benchmarks that were later used as references throughout the research field. The use of support vector machines in fault localization has been given a heavy scholarly discussion because of their efficacy with small training data sets and high dimension feature space. Mishra et al. (2022) provided extensive research studies involving the comparison of

SVM variants of radial basis function kernels, polynomial kernels, and linear classifiers with respect to a variety of grid topologies. According to their findings, it showed that properly tuned SVM classifiers can localize with errors of less than 1.5 percent and still maintain computation efficiency that is high enough to be applied in real time protection in the network, especially in renewable penetrated networks.

Deep learning systems have become the architectural designs of choice in complex fault diagnostic tasks that need automatic learning of features based on raw measurement data. Kumar and Singh (2023) offered a long short-term memory network architecture that is directly aimed at sequential analysis of fault signature during the Indian transmission systems. Their model proved to be very effective in discriminating between temporary noise and permanent faults with discrimination accuracies of over 97 percent and minimizing unnecessary relay operation by about 35 per cent compared to traditional schemes. Combination of fuzzy logic and neural networks has led to hybrid intelligent systems that have provided interpretable decision making and adaptive learning. Prasad et al. (2020) have created an adaptive neuro-fuzzy inference system of fault classification of the distribution network with the highest accuracy of 96.4% and a transparent reasoning chain that allows its acceptance by the operator. This interpretability feature mitigates serious obstacles to the adoption of AI in protection that is safety critical where interpretation of algorithmic decisions remains critical to legislation.

Studies that have investigated the effects of renewable energy integration on fault detection have reported the existence of certain challenges that need to be addressed using adjusted AI methods. Sharma and Patel (2022) examined fault behavior in high photovoltaic penetration grids and found that inverter-based generation radically changes the fault current signature, thus worsening traditional protection performance. Their suggested transfer learning framework allowed pre-trained models to respond to evolving generation mix conditions with limited retraining needs, which are of practical deployment value in the high ability of changing grid constructions. Ensemble learning techniques have proven to be useful in enhancing the fault classification resilience in different operating environments. Gupta and Verma (2021) trained a random forest ensemble of classifiers that used 99.2% fault type classification accuracy on the IEEE 30-bus test system and could be used to perform with consistent performance across load variation conditions of 60 to 120 nominal conditions. This strength feature is especially important in practice where the working parameters are constantly changing. The use of data of the wide area monitoring system in the context of fault location has made possible innovative methods that use synchronized phasor measurements. The graph topology Chen et al. (2022) suggested a graph neural network architecture that uses grid topology information as well as voltage and current phasors to localize transmission line faults. Their process had localization accuracies of 1.1 km over a 500 kV transmission network, and had the ability to survive to measurement noise and measurement communication delays typical of realistic WAMS applications.

Studies that cover the issue of implementation in the developing countries have shown that infrastructure constraints, labor capacity and financial constraints are some of the issues that have an impact on the adoption of AI. The study by Reddy and Rao (2023) focuses on the barriers of smart grid technology implementation in Indian state electricity boards, and the researchers identify the data quality problem, difficulties in integrating the legacy systems, and the lack of skilled personnel as the main challenges. Their suggested roadmap of phases of implementation offers useful direction to utilities going through the digital transformation without being caught in an operational bind. The AI-based protection systems have been assessed economically and have shown positive cost-benefit ratios to consider investing in them. Patel et al. (2021) performed the detailed lifecycle cost analysis of AI-enhanced protection schemes in comparison to the traditional options in various utility settings. Their decision showed that AI systems have payback times of between 3.5 and 5.2 years due to lower outage costs, reduced equipment damage, better maintenance planning even though they incur more initial capital requirements. Recent studies have examined edge computing systems that allow localized AI on substation setups. Singh and Kumar (2022) created deployed neural network applications with sub-cycle fault tolerance and no communication latency horizon limits related to centralized processing methods. This building product design provides important timing needs during primary protection software and retains the same degree of precision as cloud-based deployments.

3. Objectives

1. To compare the fault identification accuracy of selected AI algorithms (CNN, SVM, RF, and RNN) under diverse grid configurations and fault conditions.
2. To evaluate fault localization performance in terms of accuracy, computational efficiency, and real-time applicability in transmission and distribution networks.
3. To assess implementation challenges, infrastructure needs, and scalability of AI-based fault management systems in the context of the Indian power grid.
4. To propose optimized guidelines for algorithm selection, system architecture, and phased AI integration into existing protection and coordination schemes.

4. Methodology

The research is a mixed method that involves systematic literature review as well as quantitative review of the secondary data collected through the authenticated sources such as grid operators, international energy agencies, and peer-reviewed research publications. The study design is based on a descriptive-analytical approach to the study of the nature of AI algorithm performance, the case studies of their implementation, and comparative analyses in different organizational settings. The sampling plan includes purposive sampling of the research studies, which appeared in the 2019-2024 period in well-known journals listed in the Scopus and Web of Science databases to guarantee timely and methodological quality. The sources of secondary data are the Central Electricity Authority of India, the International Energy Agency, IEEE Power and Energy Society technical reports, and reported pilot projects results of the utilities that deployed AI-based protection systems.

The data collection methods will include the structured extraction templates that record specifications of algorithms, performance indicators, grid attributes, and implementation parameters of all the identified studies. The analytical methods include descriptive statistical analysis in order to compare the performance metrics, trend analysis in order to analyze the technology adoption patterns and thematic synthesis in order to identify the challenges in implementation. The performance measures checked are fault classification accuracy, percentage of localization error, detection time, false positive rates, and the measures of computational complexity. Triangulation is offered to verify the reliability of the secondary data obtained through various authenticated sources, and validation of operational records of the utility utilization is also provided. The ethical issues that ought to be considered by using secondary data include the use of institutional data that is publicly available but one does not access the proprietary operational data.

5. Results

Table 1: Comparative Fault Classification Accuracy of AI Algorithms across IEEE Test Systems

AI Algorithm	IEEE 14-Bus (%)	IEEE 30-Bus (%)	IEEE 118-Bus (%)	Average (%)
Convolutional Neural Network	98.4	97.9	96.8	97.7
Support Vector Machine	96.2	95.7	94.3	95.4
Random Forest	97.1	96.5	95.2	96.3

Long Short-Term Memory	97.8	97.2	96.1	97.0
Artificial Neural Network	95.8	95.1	93.9	94.9
Adaptive Neuro-Fuzzy	96.5	95.9	94.7	95.7

Source: Compiled from Zhang et al. (2021), Gupta and Verma (2021), Kumar and Singh (2023)

In Table 1, the comparative performance of six popular AI algorithms in terms of fault classification accuracy on standardized IEEE test systems of different complexity is shown. The convolutional neural network has a best performance with an average accuracy of 97.7 which can be attributed to its success in extracting features well based on time-frequency fault signal representations. The reduction in performance with complexity of the systems is not so high with a CNN performance degradation of only 1.6 percentage points between the 14-bus and the 118-bus systems. Compared to the accuracies of support vector machines and artificial neural networks, random forest classifiers have relatively lower but operationally satisfactory accuracies, and random forest classifiers are robust and rely on the principles of ensemble decision aggregation.

Table 2: Fault Localization Error Analysis for Transmission Line Applications

AI Method	Mean Error (%)	Maximum Error (%)	Standard Deviation	Processing Time (ms)
SVM Regression	0.89	2.14	0.42	12.3
CNN-Based Localization	0.72	1.87	0.38	18.7
LSTM Network	0.81	2.03	0.44	24.5
Gradient Boosting	0.95	2.31	0.51	15.2
Graph Neural Network	0.68	1.65	0.35	31.4
Ensemble Method	0.64	1.52	0.31	42.8

Source: Compiled from Chen et al. (2022), Mishra et al. (2022), Prasad et al. (2020)

Table 2 shows the characteristics of fault localization errors and computation demands of six AI methodologies to transmission line protection. The ensemble technique has the lowest average error in localization of 0.64 percent of the total line length and smallest variance, but takes the longest time to process, 42.8 milliseconds. Graph neural networks are exceptionally high in 0.68 percent mean error because of topological information in grid structure. The accuracy-computational time tradeoff is clear in that SVM regression has an acceptable error rate of 0.89% and fast 12.3 milliseconds processing time where is good enough to meet the real-time protection needs in the practical utility application..

Table 3: AI Implementation Status in Major National Power Grids (2023)

Country	AI Projects Deployed	Grid Coverage (%)	Investment (Million USD)	Fault Reduction (%)
United States	127	34.2	2,840	28.5
China	198	41.7	4,520	32.3
Germany	56	52.8	1,120	35.1
India	43	12.4	680	22.7
Japan	71	48.3	1,890	31.8
United Kingdom	38	44.6	720	29.4

Source: Compiled from International Energy Agency (2023), Central Electricity Authority of India (2023)

Table 3 includes the comparative analysis of the AI implementation progress in six of the largest national power grids in terms of reported project deployments and reported outcomes recorded. China is the most absolute deployment with 198 projects amounting to 41.7% of grid coverage indicating a significant investment by the state of over 4,520 million USD. Germany shows the highest proportions of 52.8 percent that is covering with equivalent benefits of fault reduction of 35.1 percent. The implementation of AI in India is still young with 43 projects serving 12.4 percent of national grid, but claimed 22.7 percent reduction of faults suggests that operational advantages are felt and it is worth the investment. The association of implementation scale and the reduction of faults is a verifying confirmation of AI efficacy in various settings of grid infrastructure.

Table 4: Performance Comparison Under Renewable Energy Integration Scenarios

Renewable Penetration	CNN Accuracy (%)	SVM Accuracy (%)	LSTM Accuracy (%)	Conventional Relay (%)
10%	98.1	95.9	97.4	94.2
20%	97.6	95.2	96.8	91.7
30%	96.9	94.1	96.1	87.3
40%	95.8	92.7	95.2	82.1
50%	94.2	90.8	94.0	76.5

Source: Compiled from Sharma and Patel (2022), Reddy and Rao (2023)

Table 4 indicates patterns of degradation in fault classification with the renewable energy penetration with 10-50 percent of the overall generation capacity. Traditional impedance-based relays also have severe performance degradation, falling to 94.2% to 76.5% accuracy with changed fault current properties with inverter-based production. Algorithms based on AI are significantly more resilient and CNNs are still able to sustain an accuracy of 94.2 even with the 50 percent renewable penetration. The LSTM networks demonstrate high effectiveness specifically on patterns of variable generation due to their sequential learnings; they retain 94.0% accuracy in the maximum penetration levels. This comparative analysis makes AI the most superior when it comes to protection applications in grids that are moving towards a more renewable-dominated generation portfolio.

Table 5: Economic Impact Analysis of AI-Based Protection Systems

Metric	Conventional System	AI-Enhanced System	Improvement (%)
Average Outage Duration (minutes)	124.5	42.3	66.0
Annual Fault-Related Costs (Million USD)	847.2	312.6	63.1
Equipment Damage Incidents	1,247	438	64.9
Customer Interruption Events	8,934	3,412	61.8
Maintenance Costs (Million USD)	234.8	156.2	33.5
System Availability (%)	99.12	99.67	0.55

Source: Compiled from Patel et al. (2021), International Energy Agency (2023)

Table 5 measures economic and operational gains which can be accredited to the implementation of AI-based protection systems basing on the reported utility results in various jurisdictions. The average time of outage is lessened by 124.5 to 42.3 minutes that is 66 percent lower and faster fault detection and localization allows earlier restoration processes. The reduction in the annual fault-related expenditures is 63.1 per cent (847.2 million USD to 312.6 million USD) due to the decrease in equipment damages, the minimization of the revenue losses, and the emergency response costs. The 0.55 percentage point increase in the system availability, which may seem insignificant at a numerical level, is converted into about 48 hours of additional operational time annually with major economic significance to industrial consumers who need to be supplied all the time.

Table 6: AI Algorithm Training Requirements and Computational Resources

Algorithm	Training Samples Required	Training Time (hours)	GPU Memory (GB)	Model Size (MB)
CNN	50,000	8.4	6.2	124
SVM	15,000	1.2	2.1	18
Random Forest	25,000	2.8	3.4	45
LSTM	75,000	14.6	8.7	186
Graph Neural Network	40,000	11.2	7.8	156
Ensemble Method	60,000	18.4	12.4	312

Source: Compiled from Singh and Kumar (2022), Chen et al. (2022)

Table 6 shows the computational resource values of the training of the different AI fault detection algorithms and this will be important when setting up the implementation. The support vector machines exhibit low resource consumption of 15,000 training samples and 1.2 hours of training time, which is appropriate to utilities that have limited computational resources and past fault records. The LSTM networks need the highest volume of training data at 75,000 samples at a training time of 14.6 hours but with better sequential pattern recognition ability. Ensemble methods require the largest amount of computational resources, such as 12.4 GB GPU memory and 312 MB model storage, and would require hardware limitations to be considered when choosing the algorithmic methods to be used in particular deployment scenarios.

6. Discussion

The factual data that is provided by thorough data analysis prove artificial intelligence as a groundbreaking technology that assists with power grid fault detection and localization and proves to have significant performance benefits when considered in a variety of assessment criteria. Comparisons of classification accuracy made between the deep learning frameworks, especially the convolutional neural networks with the highest average accuracy of 97.77, outperform the traditional protection scheme, as well as simpler machine learning frameworks, significantly (Zhang et al., 2021). This is an advantage because CNN is able to extract hierarchical features directly out of raw fault signal without manual feature engineering, and thus records finer fault signature properties that are lost to traditional detection strategies (Kumar & Singh, 2023). The localization error analysis shows that the score of ensemble methods and the graph neural networks is less than one-percent mean errors, which can be translated into real-world advantages such as decreased fault patrol time, smaller equipment isolation areas, and faster restoration processes (Chen et al., 2022). Such localization advances are especially useful in large transmission networks like the 450,000 circuit kilometer system in India but in the same scenario, the traditional impedance-based approaches tend to generate multi-kilometer errors in the case of a high-resistance fault or with high infeed by intermediary sources (Mishra et al., 2022). The graph neural network framework that utilizes topological data is a novel methodology that allows localizing accurately even when the topology is not fully measured, as it is a practical solution to situations where data is not complete due to the failure of communication or the inadequacy of the measurement device.

The analysis on renewable energy integration demonstrates significant implication on the utilities proceeding through the energy transition pathways towards the decarburization goals. The traditional relay error of 94.2 per cent to 76.5 per cent with renewable penetration of 50 per cent is unacceptable operation risk with the possibility of fault clearance delay, equipment damage, and cascades (Sharma and Patel, 2022). The capability to protect the grid by having a high level of renewable capability of over 94 to 97 percent of accuracy is necessary in order to assist the grid operators with the ability to easily achieve a high level of renewable generation without reducing the security of the system. This observation is of particular importance to the ambitious renewable energy ambitions of India to 500 GW capacity by 2030, which will require the protection systems capable of accommodating radically different fault current attributes of the inverter-based resources. The comparison of the international implementation shows that there are high differences in the rates of the AI adoption and the resultant benefits realization in the national contexts. The fact that Germany has 35.1% fault reduction and 52.8% grid coverage shows that the implementation results are mature, and India 12.4% coverage with 22.7% non-utilized potential are still nascent (Reddy & Rao, 2023). The difference in the type of investment made by each of the two countries was a difference in the level of priority combined with the level of resource endowment and not necessarily the effectiveness of the technology in question, since the difference in the amount of investment being made was 4,520 million USD in China versus 680 million USD in India, implying that the level of increase in investment made will result in similarly increased benefit in grid modernization in India.

This can be quantified by the economic impact analysis, which presents compelling returns to find protection systems AI investments. The 63.1 percent decrease in the number of fault-related expenses annually indicates that the benefit is about 534 million USD in the situation under analysis, which is significantly larger than the implementation costs of AI systems are expected to be (Patel et al., 2021). The 66 percent cut of average outage time is a direct response to the issue of customer satisfaction coupled with aiding the competitiveness of industries that rely on the availability of electricity. These economic gains are not only limited to direct savings in costs but also to the prevention of the societal effects of power interruption to healthcare institutions, transportation systems and communication infrastructures. The identified barriers to the implementation discovered in the literature synthesis include technical, organizational, and regulatory aspects that need to be addressed systematically. Limitations related to the quality of data are inherent problems because the quality of the AI algorithm directly determines the completeness, accuracy, and representativeness of the training data (Singh and Kumar, 2022). The problems of legacy system integration are associated with the incompatible communication protocols, the variability of data formats and distributed monitoring architecture that demand significant development of middleware. The lack of trained workers in the labor market impact the deployment process and the management of operations, which should be addressed by specific training initiatives that produce skills in fields related to power systems design and data science.

The analysis of the computational resource guides the choice of algorithms in line with the utility infrastructure capabilities. SVM-based solutions with acceptable 95.4 percent accuracy and a small amount of hardware resources can be deployed preferentially by the utilities with limited computational resources, whereas despite the large accuracy, the ensemble methods enable organizations with strong computational resources to take advantage of the high accuracy with increased computational investment (Gupta and Verma, 2021). The use of edge computing architectures that support localized processing in the substation environment is also a response to the communication latency bottlenecks, as well as a way to distribute the computational loads of grid infrastructure. The overall findings of the research prove that AI-based fault identification and localization strategies provide technically better, economically reasonable, and practically feasible solutions to the modernization of the infrastructure of power grid protection. The case brings forward the priority in the investment in AI protection systems especially those utilities struggling with renewable integration, constraining infrastructure, and reliability improvement requirements.

7. Conclusion

This research work provides a conclusive study to prove the fact that AI-based fault detection and localization solutions are revolutionary developments to the power grid protection infrastructure as they have significant performance clusters on all three levels of evaluation in technical, operational, and economic aspects. Convolutional neural networks have error rates of 97.7 on average across standardized test networks, and ensemble localization algorithms have errors of 0.64 on average allowing localization of the faults in large transmission networks. The ability of AI algorithms in the scenario of high renewable energy penetration, preserving above 94% accuracy at high renewable energy penetration as conventional relays fall to 76.5, resolves urgent protection issues that accompany the goals of energy transition. Economic analysis shows that it brings economic benefits such as 63.1% cut in the annual fault costs and 66% lessening of the mean outage time that justifies investment of capital in terms of measurable operational advantages. The pace of implementation between countries can change significantly, as the percentage of grid coverage in India (12.4) suggests that there is a substantial amount of unused potential in the area of AI implementation in the national transmission and distribution system. The study provides definite criteria of algorithm selection based on accuracy demands, computational limits, and operations settings, which can offer pragmatically useful advice to utility decision-makers traveling in the technology adoption channels. Research directions of the future include federated learning solutions to data privacy limitations, explainable artificial intelligence solutions to increase operator confidence, and benchmarking standards to allow systematic evaluation of technology.

8. References

1 Chen, Y., Wang, X., & Zhang, L. (2022). Graph neural network-based fault localization in power transmission networks using synchronized phasor measurements. *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, 37(4), 2847-2859. https://doi.org/10.1109/TPWRS.2021.3132456

2 Central Electricity Authority of India. (2023). *Annual report on transmission system performance 2022-23*. Ministry of Power, Government of India.

3 Gupta, A., & Verma, S. (2021). Ensemble learning approaches for robust fault classification in power systems under varying load conditions. *Electric Power Systems Research*, 198, 107362. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsr.2021.107362

4 International Energy Agency. (2023). *Smart grids: Tracking report 2023*. IEA Publications.

5 Kumar, R., & Singh, B. (2023). Long short-term memory networks for sequential fault signature analysis in Indian transmission systems. *International Journal of Electrical Power and Energy Systems*, 145, 108634. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijepes.2022.108634

6 Mishra, D. P., Ray, P., & Dash, S. K. (2022). Comparative analysis of support vector machine variants for fault localization in transmission lines with renewable integration. *Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence*, 109, 104643. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engappai.2022.104643

7 Patel, N., Shah, V., & Trivedi, M. (2021). Lifecycle cost assessment of AI-enhanced protection systems for electric utilities. *Utilities Policy*, 73, 101304. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jup.2021.101304

8 Prasad, C. D., Nayak, P. K., & Sahu, G. (2020). Adaptive neuro-fuzzy inference system for distribution network fault classification with interpretable decision processes. *Applied Soft Computing*, 96, 106655. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asoc.2020.106655

9 Reddy, K. S., & Rao, L. N. (2023). Smart grid technology deployment barriers and implementation roadmap for Indian state electricity boards. *Energy Policy*, 174, 113428. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2023.113428

10 Sharma, N., & Patel, R. (2022). Transfer learning methodology for fault detection in grids with high photovoltaic penetration. *Renewable Energy*, 186, 248-261. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2022.01.024

11 Singh, P., & Kumar, A. (2022). Embedded neural network implementations for sub-cycle fault detection in substation environments. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics*, 18(9), 6234-6244. https://doi.org/10.1109/TII.2022.3156789

12 Zhang, S., Wang, Y., Liu, M., & Bao, Z. (2021). Convolutional neural networks for transmission line fault classification using time-frequency representations. *IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery*, 36(5), 2946-2956. https://doi.org/10.1109/TPWRD.2020.3034523

13 Alimi, O. A., Ouahada, K., & Abu-Mahfouz, A. M. (2020). A review of machine learning approaches to power system security and stability. *IEEE Access*, 8, 113512-113531. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2020.3003568

14 Bahmanyar, A., Jamali, S., Estebsari, A., & Bompard, E. (2020). A comparison framework for distribution system outage and fault location methods. *Electric Power Systems Research*, 145, 19-34. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsr.2020.106147

15 Gao, W., Ning, J., & Balashanmugam, P. (2020). Artificial intelligence-based fault detection and diagnosis methods for building energy systems. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 134, 110356. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2020.110356

16 James, J. Q., Hou, Y., Lam, A. Y., & Li, V. O. (2019). Intelligent fault detection scheme for microgrids with wavelet-based deep neural networks. *IEEE Transactions on Smart Grid*, 10(2), 1694-1703. https://doi.org/10.1109/TSG.2017.2776482

17 Li, Y., Cao, Y., Liu, Y., & Chen, S. (2021). Deep learning based online fault location and protection of transmission lines. *Journal of Modern Power Systems and Clean Energy*, 9(6), 1460-1470. https://doi.org/10.35833/MPCE.2020.000567

18 Moravej, Z., Pazoki, M., & Khederzadeh, M. (2021). New combined method for fault location in transmission lines using artificial neural networks. *International Journal of Electrical Power and Energy Systems*, 125, 106458. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijepes.2020.106458

19 Thukaram, D., Khincha, H. P., & Vijaynarasimha, H. P. (2020). Artificial neural network and support vector machine approach for locating faults in radial distribution systems. *IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery*, 20(2), 710-721. https://doi.org/10.1109/TPWRD.2019.2957890